

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/514,577	02/28/2000	Fang Wu	3871	3871 9020	
22434	7590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER		
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			JONES, PRENELL P		
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY,	OX 778 CLEY, CA 94704-0778		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2667		
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	\sim \sim	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- (-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/514,577	WU ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Prenell P Jones	2667				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires						
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	FR 1.704(b).					
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3. △ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-15 and 18-23</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16,17,24 and 25</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The amended claim 16 overcomes previous rejection, however, Examiner needs to conduct another search..

CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 6 7 Com